UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/642,479	08/15/2003	Xiaodong Duan AVAN/001104	Xiaodong Duan	AVAN/001104	AVAN/001104 3852	
47389 PATTERSON	7590 10/16/2007 & SHERIDAN, LLP	•	EXAMINER			
3040 POST O			CURS, NATHAN M			
SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77056			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
 , -			2613			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\$

ز نمنت	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/642,479	DUAN ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan Curs	2613	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Nathan Curs.	(3)		
(2) Walter Grollitsch.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>11 October 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's represent	ative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Shin and Ames.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ⟨	g) was not reached. h)	⊠ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		d to if an agreement was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments th		IS
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has alre OF ONE MONTH OR THI ERVIEW SUMMARY FOF	eady been filed, APPLICANT IS IRTY DAYS FROM THIS RM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	
		SKK.	
	PRIMA	SHI K. LI RY PATENT EXAMINER	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Application No. 10/642,479

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Grollitsch requested clarification of the role of Ames in the combination with Shin and raised the question of whether Ames is actually determining the average power from a plurality of points. Mr. Curs pointed out Ames col. 6 lines 37-40 which discloses sampling a digitized Vmon (digitized at col. 6 lines 1-17), which is representative of the average optical power, and then calculating the average optical power. Mr. Grollitsch also pointed out the claim limitation of sampling "at a frequency"; Mr. Curs explained that the language "at a frequency" in claim 1 did not necessarily tie that frequency to the protocol rate and explained that Ames's sampling is inherently at some frequency.